



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/020,560	12/07/2001	Alexander C. Loui	83799SLP	8608

7590 06/30/2005  
Eastman Kodak Company  
343 State Street  
Rochester, NY 14650-2201

EXAMINER

SIDDIQI, MOHAMMAD A

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2154

DATE MAILED: 06/30/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	Application No. 10/020,560	Applicant(s) LOUI ET AL.	
	Examiner Mohammad A. Siddiqi	Art Unit 2154	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

### Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 07 December 2001.
- 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL.                      2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-53 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-53 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

### Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 07 December 2001 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All    b) ☐ Some \*    c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

### Attachment(s)

- |   |   |
|---|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)   | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)             |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>03/11/2002</u> . | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____  |

*HL*

*2*

**DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 1-53 are presented for examination.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims 1-53 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Anderson et al. (US 2002/0087622) hereinafter (Anderson) in view of Redd et al. (6,646,754) (hereinafter Redd).

4. As per claim 1, Anderson discloses a method of producing a multimedia media, comprising the steps of:

(a) using a software program at a first location to arrange a plurality of digital images to produce a multimedia composition (image-related web application, page 2, paragraph #17);

(b) using the software at the first location to encode the multimedia composition to produce a digital bit-stream (capturing and displaying images, page 1, paragraph 9, page 2, paragraph #26);

(c) accessing the digital bit-stream at the first location to view the digital bit-stream (allowing photo-service websites to receive and send images, page 2, paragraph #24);

(e) transmitting the bit-stream to a second location remote from the first location (transmitting to photo service site, 12, 18, fig 1, page 2, paragraph 25);

Anderson does not specifically disclose (d) generating an order request to create the multimedia media comprised of the digital bit-stream; and (f) fulfilling the order request. However, generating an order, transmitting the order from one location to second and fulfilling order are well known in the photo service art. For example, Redd discloses (d) generating an order request to create the multimedia media comprised of the digital bit-stream (fig 3A, 3B); and (f) fulfilling the order request (406, fig 5). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to combine the teachings of Anderson and Redd. The motivation would have been providing central photo service sites which can be accessed from a wide range of client devices.

5. As per claim 2, the claim is rejected for the same reasons as claim 1, above. In addition, Anderson discloses using the software program at the first location to arrange a second plurality of digital images to produce a second multimedia composition (page 2, paragraph #17 and #18); using the software program at the first location to encode the second multimedia composition to produce a second digital bit-stream (capturing and displaying images, page 1, paragraph 9, page 2, paragraph #26); and transmitting the order request to the service provider to create the multimedia media comprised of the digital bit-stream and the second digital bit-stream (transmitting to photo service site, 12, 18, fig 1, page 2, paragraph 25).

6. As per claim 3, the claim is rejected for the same reasons as claim 1, above. In addition, Redd discloses prior to transmitting the order request, using the software program to modifying the arrangement of the plurality of digital images (fig 1, first, second and third pass).

7. As per claim 4, the claim is rejected for the same reasons as claim 1, above. In addition, Redd discloses, prior to fulfilling the order request, providing payment for the multimedia media (fig 3E, 406 fig 5).

8. As per claim 5, the claim is rejected for the same reasons as claim 1, above. In addition, Redd discloses payment is provided by providing a credit card number (fig 3E).

9. As per claim 6, the claim is rejected for the same reasons as claim 1, above. In addition, Redd discloses using the software program at the first location to include audio material with the plurality of digital images to produce the multimedia composition (col 7, lines 16-21).

10. As per claim 7, the claim is rejected for the same reasons as claim 1, above. In addition, Redd discloses using the software program at the first location to include textual information with the plurality of digital images to produce the multimedia composition (col 7, lines 10-21).

11. As per claim 8, the claim is rejected for the same reasons as claim 1, above. In addition, Anderson discloses digital bit-stream is low resolution (page 5, paragraph #51).

12. As per claim 9, the claim is rejected for the same reasons as claim 1, above. In addition, Redd discloses of transmitting an electronic message

indicative of the fulfillment of the order request (406, fig 5).

13. As per claim 10, the claim is rejected for the same reasons as claim 1, above. In addition, Redd discloses generating an order request (404 fig 5); and transmitting an electronic message indicative of the generation and transmission of the order request (fig 5, fig 6).

14. As per claim 11, the claim is rejected for the same reasons as claim 1, above. In addition, Anderson discloses (b) the user transmitting the multimedia composition to a service provider located at a second location remote from the first location (12,18 fig 1);

15. As per claims 12-20, claims are rejected for the same reasons as claims 11 and 2-10, above.

16. As per claim 21, the claim is rejected for the same reasons as claim 11, above.

17. As per claim 22, the claim is rejected for the same reasons as claim 11, above. In addition, Anderson discloses (a) a user located at a first location accessing a thumbnail version of each of a plurality of digital images

located at a second location remote from the first location (page 5, paragraph #51);

(c) the user transmitting the multimedia composition script to a service provider located at the second location (page 1, paragraph #9; page paragraph #37);

18. Claims 23 -31, claims are rejected for the same reasons as claims 22, and 2-10, above.

19. As per claim 32, the claim is rejected for the same reasons as claim 22, above.

20. As per claim 33, the claim is rejected for the same reasons as claim 22, above. In addition, Redd discloses (a) providing a service provider with a undeveloped exposed film roll at a remote site for development to produce a plurality of digital images (col 1, lines 19-30);

21. As per claims 34-42, claims are rejected for the same reasons as claims 33 and 2-10, above.



Art Unit: 2154

22. As per claims 43-53, claims are rejected for the same reasons as claims 22-31, above.

### ***Conclusion***

23. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:

U.S. Patent 6,657,702

U.S. Patent 6,131,088

U.S. Patent 6,549,306

U.S. Patent 6,453,361


U.S. Patent 6,900,905

24. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mohammad A. Siddiqi whose telephone number is (571) 272-3976. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday -Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John A. Follansbee can be reached on (571) 272-3964. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MAS

 JOHN FOLLANSBEE  
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER  
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100